N.C.P.I.—Criminal 270.61 UNSAFE MOVEMENT (BACKING). INFRACTION. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME REPLACEMENT JUNE 2012 G.S. 20-154

UNSAFE MOVEMENT (BACKING). INFRACTION.

The defendant has been charged with the unsafe backing of a vehicle.

For you to find the defendant responsible for this infraction, the State must prove three things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant was the driver of a vehicle;

Second, that this vehicle was on a highway;

<u>Third</u>, that the defendant backed this vehicle and that this backing could not be made with safety and without interfering with other traffic;

(<u>And Fourth</u>, there was a motorcycle operator who may be affected by this movement and defendant caused this motorcycle operator to [change travel lanes] [leave that portion of any highway] designated as travel lanes).

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date the defendant was the driver of a vehicle that was on a highway, that the defendant backed this vehicle, and that this backing could not be made with safety and without interfering with other traffic, (and there was a motorcycle operator who may be affected by this movement and defendant caused this motorcycle operator to [change travel lanes] [leave that portion of any highway] designated as travel lanes]), it would be your N.C.P.I.—Criminal 270.61 UNSAFE MOVEMENT (BACKING). INFRACTION. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME REPLACEMENT JUNE 2012 G.S. 20-154

duty to return a verdict of responsible. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things it would be your duty to return a verdict of not responsible.